**DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF SELF CHECKOUT MOBILE APPLICATION**

**PROJECT SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT**

**FOR THE DEGREE OF**

**B.SC.**

**IN**

**COMPUTER SCIENCE (INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY)**

**BY**

**MUNIRA MUSA KAMALE**

**TO**

**THE DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE**

**BAZE UNIVERSITY, ABUJA**

**SEPTEMBER, 2024**

**DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the project work entitled “**Design and implementation of Self-Checkout Mobile App”** is a record of an original work done by meunder the guidance of Mr. Usman Bello Abubakar. This project is submitted in the partial fulfillment of the requirements of the award of the degree of B.Sc in information Technology to the Department of Computer Science, Baze University Abuja, Nigeria. The results embodied in this project have not been submitted to any other university or institution for the award of any degree.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Munira MusaDate

BU/22C/IT/7668

**APPROVED BY**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Head of Department,**

Department of Computer Science

**CERTIFICATION**

This is to certify that this project “**Design and implementation of Self Checkout Mobile App”** submitted by Munira Musa, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of B.Sc. Information Technology to the department of Computer Science, Baze University Abuja, Nigeria, is an authentic work carried out by the candidate under my guidance. The matter embodied in this project is original and has not been submitted for the award of any other degree.

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

Date Dr Usman Bello Abubakar

**APPROVAL**

This is to approve that this project entitled “**Design and Implementation of Self Checkout Mobile App”** submitted by Munira Musa has been examined and is hereby approved as a credible work in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of degree of B.Sc. Information Technology to the department of Computer Science, Baze University Abuja, Nigeria.

By

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Dr Usman Bello Abubakar Date

Supervisor

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

Dr Usman Idris Abubakar Date

Head of Department

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Prof Helen Negbenebor Date

Dean, Faculty of Computing and Applied Science

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Prof Choji Davou Nyap Date

External Examiner

**DEDICATION**

I dedicate this work to Almighty Allah, my family and my friends for their unwavering support and encouragement throughout my academic journey. Their belief in me has been a constant source of motivation. I also dedicate this work to my mentors and lecturers, whose guidance and wisdom have greatly contributed to this project. Lastly, I extend my gratitude to all those who contributed to this project in any capacity.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to all those who have supported and guided me throughout this project. My heartfelt appreciation goes to my family and friends for their constant motivation and support. I am extremely grateful to my mentors and lecturers for their invaluable guidance which have been instrumental in the completion of this project. Additionally, I would like to thank my colleagues and peers for their cooperation and feedback. This project would not have been possible without the contributions and support of each and every one of you.

**ABSTRACT**

The rapid advancements in mobile technology have revolutionized various sectors, including retail. This project focuses on the design and implementation of a self-checkout mobile app aimed at enhancing the shopping experience by providing a seamless, efficient, and user-friendly alternative to traditional checkout methods. The app allows users to scan items, view prices, and complete payments directly from their smartphones, thereby reducing wait times and improving customer satisfaction. Key features include barcode scanning, secure payment integration, and real-time inventory updates. This project also addresses potential challenges such as security concerns and user adoption. The implementation of this app is expected to streamline the checkout process, reduce operational costs, and offer a modern solution to meet the evolving needs of consumers and retailers alike.

**TABLE OF CONTENT**

[LIST OF TABLES xii](#_Toc178515600)

[LIST OF FIGURES xiii](#_Toc178515601)

[LIST OF ABBREVATIONS xv](#_Toc178515602)

[CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION 1](#_Toc178515603)

[1.1 Overview 1](#_Toc178515604)

[1.2 Background of Study 1](#_Toc178515605)

[1.3 Statement of the Problem 2](#_Toc178515606)

[1.4 Aim and Objectives of the Study 3](#_Toc178515607)

[Objectives 3](#_Toc178515608)

[1.5 Significance of the Study 3](#_Toc178515609)

[1.6 Project Risk Assessment 4](#_Toc178515610)

[1.7 Scope of the Study 5](#_Toc178515611)

[CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 7](#_Toc178515612)

[2.1 Introduction 7](#_Toc178515613)

[2.2 Historical Overview 9](#_Toc178515614)

[2.3 Self-checkout Systems 11](#_Toc178515615)

[2.3.1 Types of Self-Checkout Systems 12](#_Toc178515616)

[2.3.2 Advantages of Mobile Self-checkout Systems 13](#_Toc178515617)

[2.3.4 Barcode 14](#_Toc178515618)

[2.2.4.1 Barcode Types 15](#_Toc178515619)

[2.2.4.2 Barcode Scanner 16](#_Toc178515620)

[2.2.4.3 Benefits of Barcode 17](#_Toc178515621)

[2.2.5 Self-Checkout Adoption 18](#_Toc178515622)

[2.2.6 Technology-related Factors 18](#_Toc178515623)

[2.3 Review of Related Work 19](#_Toc178515624)

[2.4 Summary 21](#_Toc178515625)

[2.4 Summary of Related Work 22](#_Toc178515626)

[CHAPTER 3: REQUIREMENT, ANALYSIS AND DESIGN. 26](#_Toc178515627)

[3.1 Overview 26](#_Toc178515628)

[3.2 Adopted Methodology 26](#_Toc178515629)

[3.3 Tools and techniques 26](#_Toc178515630)

[3.5 Ethical Consideration 27](#_Toc178515631)

[3.6 REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS 27](#_Toc178515632)

[3.6.1 SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS 27](#_Toc178515633)

[1. Operating System: Windows 27](#_Toc178515634)

[3.7 Requirements Specifications 27](#_Toc178515635)

[3.8 SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE 30](#_Toc178515636)

[3.8.1 Application Architecture 30](#_Toc178515637)

[3.8.2 Use Case diagram. 31](#_Toc178515638)

[3.8.3 Use case description 32](#_Toc178515639)

[3.8.3 Data Flow Diagram 37](#_Toc178515640)

[3.8.4 Entity Relationship Diagram (ERD) 38](#_Toc178515641)

[3.8.5 Activity Diagram for user and Authentication 39](#_Toc178515642)

[3.8.6 Activity Diagram for Product scanning 40](#_Toc178515643)

[3.8.7 Activity diagram for Price Display and calculation 41](#_Toc178515644)

[3.8.8 Activity Diagram for Digital Receipt 42](#_Toc178515645)

[3.8.9 Activity Diagram for Data Privacy and security 42](#_Toc178515646)

[CHAPTER 4:IMPLEMENTATION AND TESTING 43](#_Toc178515647)

[4.1 Overview 43](#_Toc178515648)

[4.2 Main Features 43](#_Toc178515649)

[4.3 Implementation Problems 44](#_Toc178515650)

[4.4 Overcoming Implementation Problems 44](#_Toc178515651)

[4.5 Testing 45](#_Toc178515652)

[4.5.1 Test Plans 45](#_Toc178515653)

[4.5.2 Test Case 46](#_Toc178515654)

[4.5.3 Manual Testing 52](#_Toc178515655)

[4.6 User Guide 53](#_Toc178515656)

[4.7 User Interface Design 54](#_Toc178515657)

[4.8 Summary 64](#_Toc178515658)

[CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION 65](#_Toc178515659)

[5.1 Summary 65](#_Toc178515660)

[5.2 Conclusion 65](#_Toc178515661)

[5.3 Recommendations 66](#_Toc178515662)

[REFERENCES 67](#_Toc178515663)

[APPENDICES 73](#_Toc178515664)

# LIST OF TABLES

[Table 1.1: Risk Assessment 4](#_Toc178524301)

[Table 2.1: Summary of Related Work 22](#_Toc178524302)

[Table 3.1: Functional Requirement Specifications 28](#_Toc178524303)

[Table 3.2: Non-Functional Requirement Specifications 29](#_Toc178524304)

[Table 3.3: Use case Description for Login/Register 32](#_Toc178524305)

[Table 3.4: Use Case Description for Admin 33](#_Toc178524306)

[Table 3.5**:** Use Case Description for Scanning Barcode 34](#_Toc178524307)

[Table 3.6: Use case description for Viewing Cart. 35](#_Toc178524308)

[Table 3.7: Use case description for Checkout 36](#_Toc178524309)

[Table 4.1: Test Plans 46](#_Toc178524310)

[Table 4.2: Test Case for user authentication 46](#_Toc178524311)

[Table 4.3: Testing for barcode scanning 47](#_Toc178524312)

[Table 4.4: Testing for Adding/Removing items from cart 48](#_Toc178524313)

[Table 4.5: Testing for Payment encryption 49](#_Toc178524314)

[Table 4.7: Testing for Logout 51](#_Toc178524315)

[Table 4.8: Testing for Payment through Credit card. 52](#_Toc178524316)

# LIST OF FIGURES

[Figure 2.1 : Barcode components (McCue, 2022). 14](#_Toc9675)

[Figure 2.2 Barcode composition (McCue, 2022). 15](#_Toc29622)

[Figure 2.3 1D barcode type (McCue, 2022) 16](#_Toc20301)

[Figure 2.4 2D barcode type (McCue, 2022). 16](#_Toc29381)

[Figure 3.1 Waterfall Model Source: (Wikipedia,2011) 26](#_Toc9527)

[Figure 3.2 Application Architecture 30](#_Toc6850)

[Figure 3.3 Use Case diagram 31](#_Toc7902)

[Figure 3.4 Data Flow Diagram 32](#_Toc8770)

[Figure 3.5 ERD Diagram 33](#_Toc20748)

[Figure 3.6 Activity diagram for user and authentication. 34](#_Toc15113)

[Figure 3.7 Activity Diagram for Product scanning 35](#_Toc10057)

[Figure 3.8 Activity diagram for Price Display and calculation 36](#_Toc13680)

[Figure 3.9 Activity Diagram for Digital Receipt 37](#_Toc16985)

[Figure 3.10 Activity Diagram for Data Privacy and security 37](#_Toc29450)

[Figure 4. 1: login/Register page 51](#_Toc178498309)

[Figure 4. 2: Scanning product Barcode 52](#_Toc178498310)

[Figure 4. 3: Information about Product scanned 53](#_Toc178498311)

[Figure 4. 4: Shopping Cart 54](#_Toc178498312)

[Figure 4. 5: Admin’s Page 56](#_Toc178498313)

[Figure 4. 6: User’s page 57](#_Toc178498314)

[Figure 4. 7: Payment page 58](#_Toc178498315)

[Figure 4. 8: Digital Receipt 59](#_Toc178498316)

# CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Overview

This chapter presents introduction, background of study, aims and objectives, statement of the problem, significance of study, definition of terms used in the project and project risks.

## 1.2 Background of Study

In the modern competitive landscape of retail, where online shopping dominates and consumers seek convenience, retailers face the imperative of evolving to meet shifting customer expectations. The proliferation of apps and devices aimed at simplifying and expediting the shopping process underscores the urgency for retailers to innovate their in-store experiences. Central to this evolution is the need to address a common customer grievance: the lengthy queues at checkout counters. Recognizing that shorter perceived wait times correlate with heightened customer satisfaction, loyalty, and market share, retailers are increasingly turning to technological solutions to streamline their operations (Jackson, D., Smith, K., & Adams, R., 2017).

The advent of self-checkout solutions marks a significant stride in enhancing the in-store shopping experience. By utilizing technology, particularly mobile devices, retailers aim to mitigate the challenges associated with conventional checkout methods (Smith, J. A., 2021). While traditional self-checkout systems necessitated substantial investments in hardware and maintenance costs, newer iterations capitalize on the Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) principle (Garcia, L., & Chen, R., 2019). This approach empowers customers to utilize their smartphones for seamless self-scanning and payment processes, thereby bypassing conventional checkout lines and reducing wait times (Brown, M., Johnson, R., & White, E., 2020).

However, the efficacy of self-checkout solutions hinges significantly on the reliability of payment methods. Traditional options such as credit/debit cards and mobile payments are susceptible to internet connectivity issues, potentially causing delays and disruptions during transactions (Wang, Q., Li, X., & Zhang, Y., 2017). To circumvent these challenges, retailers are increasingly adopting Near Field Communication (NFC) payment methods, facilitated by Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) cards (Lee, H., Park, S., & Kim, Y., 2018). By eliminating the reliance on internet connectivity, NFC payment solutions offer enhanced security and efficiency, augmenting the overall self-checkout experience (Liu, C., Wu, S., & Chang, M., 2020).

Against this backdrop, this project report aims to describe the development of a self-checkout mobile application for retail stores (Hernandez, M., Rodriguez, A., & Gomez, L., 2019). Through comprehensive analysis and clarification of the application's key components—including the virtual shopping cart, store interface, employee interactions, and customer engagement—this report endeavors to provide insights into the intricate process flows underlying the application's functionality (Kim, S., Lee, J., & Park, H., 2018). Through the lens of Unified Modeling Language (UML) diagrams, various scenarios and interactions between the application's elements will be vividly illustrated, offering a holistic understanding of its operational dynamics (Baker, E., Clark, M., & Turner, S., 2020).

## 1.3 Statement of the Problem

The development of self-checkout mobile application introduces an innovative solution to the challenges encountered in traditional retail checkout processes. The traditional checkout queues often result in extended wait times for customers, leading to frustration and reduced satisfaction. Addressing this challenge requires a focus on optimizing the efficiency of the self-checkout mobile application to ensure swift and seamless transactions for users. Moreover, existing payment methods such as credit/debit cards and mobile payments heavily rely on internet connectivity, which can be unreliable or unavailable in certain areas. Consequently, ensuring a dependable payment process within the self-checkout mobile application becomes crucial to prevent delays and transaction failures. Additionally, as the shift towards digital payment methods continues, security becomes a paramount concern. Implementing robust security measures within the application is essential to safeguard user data and prevent unauthorized access or fraudulent activities.

Furthermore, the success of a self-checkout mobile application hinges significantly on its user-friendliness and intuitive interface. Addressing user experience challenges, such as seamless barcode scanning, intuitive navigation, and efficient cart management, is imperative to encourage adoption and usage among customers. Retail environments often rely on complex backend systems for inventory management, pricing, and customer data. Integrating the self-checkout mobile application with these existing systems poses a technical challenge that needs to be addressed to ensure compatibility and smooth operations. Providing real-time visibility into product availability is crucial for enhancing the shopping experience. Implementing a robust inventory tracking system within the application is necessary to prevent instances of out-of-stock items and improve customer satisfaction. In light of these challenges, the development of a self-checkout mobile application necessitates thorough research, meticulous planning, and effective implementation strategies to overcome existing barriers and deliver a seamless and secure checkout experience for retail customers.

## 1.4 Aim and Objectives of the Study

The aim of this study is to design and develop a functional self-checkout mobile application for retail stores, focusing on improving the checkout process, enhancing user experience, and addressing key challenges associated with traditional checkout methods.

## Objectives

The objectives of the study are:

1. To develop a functional model for a self-checkout mobile application tailored to the specific needs and requirements of a retail store.
2. To incorporate features such as barcode scanning, payment processing, inventory tracking, and user authentication.
3. To evaluate the effectiveness and impact of the developed self-checkout mobile application through user testing, feedback collection, and performance analysis, with a focus on factors such as checkout speed, user satisfaction, and business outcomes.

## 1.5 Significance of the Study

The significance of this study is multifaceted, with implications extending to both customers and retailers within the retail industry. At its core, the research endeavors to address longstanding challenges encountered in traditional checkout processes, offering a solution that promises to transform the shopping experience. By developing a self-checkout mobile application, the study aims to streamline and modernize the checkout process, thereby enhancing convenience and efficiency for customers. The implementation of such a mobile application has the potential to significantly improve operational efficiency for retailers. By automating various aspects of the checkout process and integrating seamlessly with existing backend systems, the application can optimize resource allocation, reduce labor costs, and ultimately enhance overall store productivity. This efficiency gain is particularly valuable in today's competitive retail landscape, where operational agility is essential for success. Furthermore, the timing of this study is particularly significant in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. With social distancing measures and hygiene concerns at the forefront of public consciousness, there is a heightened demand for contactless shopping experiences. The development of a self-checkout mobile application aligns with this trend by providing customers with a safe and hygienic alternative to traditional checkout methods, thereby addressing concerns related to physical contact and minimizing health risks.

Additionally, this research contributes to the ongoing evolution of retail technology by driving innovation in the sector. By leveraging advancements in mobile technology, payment processing, and user interface design, the study sets a precedent for future developments and underscores the importance of adapting to changing consumer preferences and technological trends. This innovation is not only beneficial for retailers but also serves to enhance the overall competitiveness and resilience of the retail industry as a whole. Moreover, by making self-checkout technology more accessible and cost-effective, this study has the potential to support small and medium-sized retailers in remaining competitive in the market. Traditionally, self-checkout solutions have been associated with larger retailers due to the high costs and technical complexity involved. However, by developing a customizable and affordable self-checkout mobile application, this research aims to democratize access to such technology, empowering retailers of all sizes to meet the evolving needs of their customers.

## 1.6 Project Risk Assessment

Table 1.1: Risk Assessment

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **S/N** | **Risk** | **Probability** | **Impact** | **Mitigation** |
|  | Technical Challenges | High | High | Conduct thorough technical feasibility studies and prototype testing prior to full-scale development. |
|  | Integration Issues | Medium | High | Collaborate closely with backend system providers and conduct rigorous integration testing. |
|  | User Adoption | Medium | Medium | Provide comprehensive user training and support, along with intuitive user interface design. |
|  | Payment Processing Failures | Low | High | Implement redundant payment processing systems and conduct regular testing to ensure reliability. |
|  | Data Privacy Violations | Low | High | Adhere to strict data privacy regulations and policies, including GDPR compliance and user consent. |
|  | System Downtime | Medium | High | Implement redundant server infrastructure and conduct regular maintenance to minimize downtime. |
|  | Hardware Compatibility Issues | Medium | Medium | Conduct thorough compatibility testing with a wide range of mobile devices and operating systems. |
|  | Scope Creep | High | High | Establish clear project scope and requirements, conduct regular progress reviews. |

## 1.7 Scope of the Study

The scope of this study revolves around the design and development of a self-checkout mobile application tailored explicitly for retail environments. Firstly, an in-depth analysis of requirements will be conducted to delineate the functional and non-functional prerequisites of the self-checkout mobile application. This will encompass identifying essential features such as barcode scanning capabilities, secure payment processing functionalities, robust user authentication mechanisms, and efficient inventory management components.

Following the requirement analysis, a comprehensive review of existing technologies and solutions pertinent to self-checkout systems and mobile application development will be undertaken. This review will encompass exploring various technologies ranging from barcode scanning APIs to secure payment gateways and adept database management systems.

# CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

## 2.1 Introduction

The rapid pace of technological advancement has reshaped the retail landscape, compelling businesses to adapt and embrace innovative solutions to meet evolving consumer preferences and optimize operational efficiency. One such pioneering concept that has gained traction in recent years is the self-checkout mobile application, a paradigm-shifting approach that promises to revolutionize the in-store shopping experience.

As retailers grapple with the challenges posed by the convenience and ubiquity of online shopping platforms, the imperative to enhance the brick-and-mortar retail experience has become paramount. Recognizing the frustrations associated with lengthy checkout queues and the desire for expedited transactions, the self-checkout mobile application emerges as a potential panacea, offering the allure of seamless, hassle-free shopping encounters.

In pursuit of a comprehensive understanding of this burgeoning technology and its implications, this literature review endeavors to delve into the multifaceted aspects of self-checkout mobile applications. Through a meticulous exploration of existing research, industry reports, and scholarly publications, this chapter aims to lay a solid foundation for the subsequent phases of the project, illuminating the theoretical underpinnings, technological advancements, and real-world applications that have shaped the evolution of self-checkout mobile apps.

The review commences by tracing the historical trajectory of self-checkout systems, chronicling their genesis and the driving forces that catalyzed their adoption within the retail sector. It then proceeds to dissect the various iterations and modalities of self-checkout solutions, juxtaposing traditional kiosk-based approaches with the more recent mobile app-driven paradigms. This comparative analysis not only elucidates the distinguishing features of each approach but also sheds light on their respective advantages and limitations, providing a nuanced perspective on their impact on retail operations and customer experiences.

Furthermore, this chapter delves into the intricate technological tapestry that underpins self-checkout mobile applications. It scrutinizes the principles, functionalities, and cutting-edge advancements in critical technologies such as barcode scanning, payment processing, and seamless integration with backend systems. By unraveling the intricate interplay between these technological components, the literature review aims to illuminate their pivotal roles in facilitating efficient, secure, and user-friendly self-checkout experiences.

Recognizing the pivotal influence of user acceptance and adoption on the success of any technological innovation, this chapter dedicates a substantial section to exploring existing theories, models, and industry best practices that elucidate the factors shaping consumer behavior and technology adoption within the realm of self-checkout mobile apps. Drawing insights from established frameworks and empirical studies, the review analyzes the interplay of variables such as perceived usefulness, ease of use, trust, and subjective norms, shedding light on the intricate dynamics that govern user acceptance and adoption of self-checkout mobile apps.

Moreover, this literature review endeavors to provide a multidimensional perspective by examining the potential benefits and challenges associated with self-checkout mobile apps from the vantage points of various stakeholders, including retailers, consumers, and employees. Through a synthesis of industry studies and academic research, the chapter investigates the impact of self-checkout mobile apps on operational efficiency, customer satisfaction, labor requirements, and potential security and privacy concerns. This holistic approach not only underscores the far-reaching implications of this technology but also highlights the need for a nuanced and balanced approach to its implementation.

By engaging in a rigorous and critical analysis of the existing body of knowledge, this literature review aims to identify gaps, contradictions, and areas ripe for further exploration within the context of the project. The insights gleaned from this endeavor will serve as a solid foundation, informing the subsequent phases of the project, including the research methodology, system design, implementation, and evaluation processes.

In essence, this chapter represents a comprehensive and indispensable resource, synthesizing the collective wisdom and empirical evidence surrounding self-checkout mobile applications. It serves as a beacon, guiding the project's trajectory and illuminating the path towards a deeper understanding of this burgeoning technology and its transformative potential within the dynamic retail landscape.

## 2.2 Historical Overview

The genesis of self-checkout systems can be traced back to the early 1990s, when the retail industry sought innovative solutions to address the mounting challenges of labor costs and customer dissatisfaction with lengthy queues at traditional checkout counters. This pivotal juncture marked the inception of a paradigm shift that would profoundly transform the in-store shopping experience.

The pioneering retailer to introduce the novel concept of self-checkout was Price Chopper Supermarkets, a regional grocery chain based in Schenectady, New York. In 1992, they unveiled their first self-checkout system, a bold endeavor that garnered significant attention within the industry (Inman & Nikolova, 2017). This groundbreaking initiative heralded the advent of a new era in retail, one that empowered customers to scan, bag, and pay for their purchases without the assistance of a cashier.

Recognizing the potential benefits of self-checkout systems, such as reduced labor costs, enhanced operational efficiency, and improved customer satisfaction, other retail giants soon followed suit. In 1994, Wal-Mart, the behemoth of the retail industry, commenced a pilot program, introducing self-checkout systems in select stores (Walker & Martin, 2018). This strategic move not only validated the viability of the concept but also propelled its widespread adoption across the retail landscape.

The early iterations of self-checkout systems were primarily kiosk-based, comprising dedicated hardware terminals equipped with scanners, payment terminals, and bagging areas. Customers would navigate through the checkout process by scanning their items, making payment, and bagging their purchases autonomously. While these systems offered a degree of convenience and expedited the checkout process, they were not without their limitations. Substantial investments in hardware, maintenance costs, and the need for dedicated floor space posed significant challenges for retailers (Garcia & Chen, 2019).

As technology advanced and consumer preferences evolved, the self-checkout paradigm underwent a transformative shift with the advent of mobile-based solutions. Capitalizing on the ubiquity of smartphones and the Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) principle, retailers began developing mobile applications that enabled customers to scan and pay for their purchases using their personal devices (Brown et al., 2020). This innovation not only circumvented the hardware costs associated with traditional kiosk-based systems but also offered unprecedented flexibility and convenience for customers.

The introduction of mobile self-c heckout applications, however, was not without its challenges. Issues surrounding payment processing, internet connectivity, and user adoption posed hurdles that required innovative solutions. To address these concerns, retailers began exploring alternative payment methods, such as Near Field Communication (NFC) and Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) technologies, which offered enhanced security and reliability without relying on internet connectivity (Lee et al., 2018).

As the self-checkout landscape continued to evolve, retailers embraced innovative approaches to further streamline the shopping experience. The introduction of Semi-Attended Customer Activated Terminals (SACAT) and hybrid models that combined traditional checkout lanes with self-checkout kiosks emerged as viable solutions (Roberts et al., 2019). These hybrid models aimed to cater to diverse customer preferences while ensuring a seamless transition to the self-checkout paradigm.

Throughout this evolutionary journey, the self-checkout concept has garnered significant attention from industry stakeholders, academics, and consumers alike. Numerous studies have been conducted to assess the impact of self-checkout systems on customer satisfaction, operational efficiency, and retail profitability. While some have lauded the convenience and time-saving benefits of self-checkout, others have raised concerns regarding security, user experience, and the potential displacement of human cashiers (Cebeci et al., 2020).

As the retail industry continues to navigate the ever-changing landscape of consumer demands and technological advancements, self-checkout systems remain at the forefront of innovation. The future holds promise for further refinements and enhancements, with emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, computer vision, and advanced payment solutions poised to shape the next generation of self-checkout experiences.

## 2.3 Self-checkout Systems

Self-checkout systems are automated systems in retail stores or supermarkets, which enable customers to scan and settle the payment for their items without the aid of a cashier. These systems typically consist of a scanner for scanning the barcodes on products, a touchscreen display for selecting items and payment options, and a payment terminal for processing payments. (Kubala et al., 2019) Customers simply scan the barcodes of the items they want to purchase, either by using a handheld scanner or by placing the items on a scanning platform. The system then identifies the items and calculates the total cost of the purchase. Customers can then pay using cash, a credit or debit card, or mobile payment systems such as Apple Pay or Google Wallet. (Cebeci et al., 2020) They are designed to enhance the customer experience by reducing queuing times at checkout, and they can also help retailers reduce labor costs by requiring fewer cashiers. However, they can also be prone to errors and require occasional intervention from store personnel to resolve issues such as misscanned items or payment problems (Maulana et al., 2021).

Retailers facing the challenge of competing with new online shopping alternatives (Garaus and Wagner, 2016), increasingly substitute or enlarge channels of service provision with technology (Colby and Parasuraman, 2003; Lee and Yang, 2013). Those SSTs are nowadays ubiquitous in the form of ATMs, online banking, or app-based airline check-ins (Wang et al., 2013). Retailers introduce a variety of those SSTs, ranging from kiosks to provide information, to SC (Inman and Nikolova, 2017). This promises to streamline processes and reduce operational costs (Johnson et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2010). The first SC, that “enables shoppers to scan, bag, and pay for their purchases without the need for a cashier”, was proposed by Price Chopper Supermarkets in 1992 (Inman and Nikolova, 2017). These stations reduce floor space by replacing conventional checkouts (Collier and Kimes, 2013) and bring benefits to customers, e.g., increased satisfaction and convenience by skipping waiting queues (Anitsal and Flint, 2006; Demirci Orel and Kara, 2014). A study of the NCR (2014) showed that 90% of their 2,800 respondents use SC in retails stores. Newer generations of SC use mobile devices provided by the retailer. Those are picked up by the customer after a process of identification needed for seamless payment. During the shopping, the customers are able to self-scan the products and pay their baskets before leaving. However, the high investment and maintenance costs for the provided devices limit this approach (Andriulo et al., 2015).

Recently, retailers started to introduce Scan&Go (Aloysius et al., 2016; Inman and Nikolova, 2017). Here, customers use an app provided by the retailer to scan and pay the products with their own smartphones. In addition to retailers, also startups such as Roqqio (ROQQIO Commerce Solutions GmbH, 2021) and Snabble (snabble GmbH, 2021) develop such apps as white label and single-checkout channel solutions. In principle, Scan&Go bears the potential to improve convenience and service quality of SC, although Walmart reported customers having difficulties using it (Inman and Nikolova, 2017). As our qualitative study uses the Snabble App as a design probe, we briefly introduce its features: The app allows scanning products with the smartphone’s camera. Afterwards, users can see the price of the product and adjust its quantity. The confirmation of the scan closes the dialog, and the product is added to the basket. The app is then ready for the next product. To finish shopping, users need to switch to the basket. Depending on the store, it offers either to use mobile payment or, as in our case, payment via stationary checkout desks that need to scan a QR-Code on the phone’s screen.

## 2.3.1 Types of Self-Checkout Systems

There are a few different types of self-checkout systems that are used in retail stores or supermarkets (Schmidt et al., 2022). Here are some of the most common types:

1. **Scan and Bag:** This is the most basic type of self-checkout system, where customers scan each item and then place it in a bag themselves. The system calculates the total cost of the purchase, which the customer pays using a payment terminal.
2. **Scan and Go:** In this type of system, customers use a handheld scanner to scan items as they shop. The system keeps a running total of the items scanned, and the customer pays at a self-checkout kiosk before leaving the store.
3. **Hybrid Self-Checkout:** This system combines traditional checkout lanes with self-checkout kiosks. Customers can choose to use a self-checkout kiosk or a cashier-assisted lane, depending on their preference.
4. **Mobile self-checkout:** This sort of self-checkout system permits clients to sweep and pay for items utilizing their cell phones. They can then skip the checkout line altogether and simply show their digital receipt to a store employee before leaving.

Each type of self-checkout system has its advantages and disadvantages, and the retailer's and customers' preferences will determine which system is best for them.


## 2.3.2 Advantages of Mobile Self-checkout Systems

Mobile self-checkout systems offer several advantages for retailers and customers alike. They eliminate the need for a physical cashier or checkout kiosk by allowing customers to scan and pay for their purchases on their own devices. This can provide a more convenient shopping experience by saving the customer time. Since customers can scan and pay for items on their own devices, the checkout process can be much faster than traditional checkout methods. (Schmidt et al., 2022)

In addition, mobile self-checkout systems can help retailers reduce labor costs by requiring fewer cashiers or checkout assistants. This can be especially beneficial for smaller stores or those with limited staff. By providing real-time data on sales and inventory levels, they can help retailers improve their inventory management. This can help them make more informed decisions about restocking and pricing. They can also encourage customers to make more impulse purchases since they can quickly and easily scan and pay for items without having to wait in line. This can help increase sales and revenue for the retailer (Beck, 2022). Overall, mobile self-checkout systems offer a convenient, fast, and cost-effective way for retailers to improve the checkout process and enhance the customer experience. (Beck, 2022).

## 2.3.4 Barcode

A barcode is a printed sequence of parallel bars or lines of varying widths (thickness and thinness) used to encode data in a pattern that can be seen and read by machines. It was invented by Noman Joseph Woodland and Bernard Silver in 1948 based on the vertical extension of the thin and thick bars in Morse code. The bars are typically dark on white, and their width and number change contingent upon the application. The binary digits 0 and 1 are represented by the bars, which can then be processed by a barcode reader to represent numbers from 0 to 9. As can be seen in figure 1, a barcode has three components. (Mathur, 2022).



**Figure 2.1****: Barcode components (McCue, 2022).**

**1*.* Quiet Zone *(*margin*):*** It refers to the empty space at the barcode's left or right ends. A scanner has trouble reading barcodes if the quiet zone's width is too small.

**2*.* Start Character/Stop Character*:*** In the image above, they are the characters that represent the data's beginning and ending, respectively, and are highlighted in red. They differ, however, according to the kind of barcode.

**3*.* Check Digit (a symbol check character)*:*** It is a digit that is used to check that the encoded barcode data is accurate.

Barcoding has been a part of everyday business transactions since 1970. Supermarkets and retail stores utilize the codes to acquire costs and other significant data about merchandise or items at the place to checkout by the consumer. Common product codes are broadly divided into the Universal Product Code, or UPC, and the European Article Number, or EAN, where the former is standardised in the US while the latter is in Europe. The EAN consists of either 13 or 8 digits in the standard and shorthand versions, while the UPC is 12 or 7 (Mathur, 2022).



**Figure 2.2** **Barcode composition (McCue, 2022).**

Figure 2 shows the different elements of the UPC barcode. The number system digit represents the product category; for example, retail has 0 or 1, while pharmaceuticals and coupons have 3 and 5, respectively. The next part is the manufacturer code, which is assigned by the global standardization organization (GS1) that regulates UPCs. The next character represents the product code, which is given by the manufacturer, and the last character is the check digit, which confirms the accuracy of the data in the barcode and flags out any potential error. Scanners perform a calculation on the digits of the checksum, and you hear a beep to ensure the right result. (McCue, 2022)

## 2.2.4.1 Barcode Types

Barcode symbology differs in quality based on its size, capacity, material used, linearity, and requirement for a checksum. Based on their linearity, they are of two types.

1. **Linear/1D:** The linear or one-dimensional (1D) barcode is what we normally visualise when we picture barcodes. As noted in figure 3, they are regular black and white vertical bars. They can encode only 80 characters and are common on the products in our supermarkets, retail stores, libraries, and pharmacies. They tie the code to the product name, type, size, and image in the database. (McCue, 2022)



**Figure 2.3** **1D barcode type (McCue, 2022)**

1. **Data Matrix/2D:** As can be seen in figure 4, it is a two-dimensional barcode that can encode up to 2000 characters in a very small space. They are also called QR codes and can encode quantities, images, and URLs. They can render this information without any connection to the database. They require sophisticated scanners to take a picture and translate the entire image. (McCue, 2022)



**Figure 2.4** **2D barcode type (McCue, 2022).**

## 2.2.4.2 Barcode Scanner

Barcode Scanner is an optical scanner device that can read printed barcodes and interpret information from the barcode to a computer. At a typical supermarket checkout counter, a barcode is used to quickly identify a product. A computer then takes the price of the item and enters it into the cash register, where it is added to the customer's bill.

Barcode scanners work by using a light source, usually a laser, to scan the barcode and convert the pattern of bars and spaces into a digital signal. The scanner emits a laser or LED light onto the barcode. The light reflects off the barcode and is captured by a photo sensor in the scanner. The photo sensor detects the amount of light that is reflected from the barcode, which corresponds to the pattern of bars and spaces in the barcode. The scanner converts the pattern of bars and spaces into a digital signal that can be read by a computer or other electronic device. The digital signal is then sent to the computer or device that is connected to the scanner, which interprets the data and takes the appropriate action, such as adding an item to an inventory system or processing a transaction at a point-of-sale terminal (Jain, 2022).

Barcode scanners come in a variety of forms, such as handheld scanners, fixed-mount scanners, and mobile devices that include built-in scanners. The basic principle of using a light source to scan the barcode and convert the pattern of bars and spaces into a digital signal is the same for all types of barcode scanners (Jain, 2022).

## 2.2.4.3 Benefits of Barcode

Barcodes have a proven track record for making business operations around the world more effective. The primary advantage of barcode systems is the real-time processing of data as soon as the barcode is scanned (McCue, 2022). In general, barcodes are:

1. **Efficient:** they allow more accurate and faster transfer of information, thereby ensuring the precise tracking and quicker transfer of assets and processes.
2. **Saves time:** depending on the use cases, the time savings can be significant. For instance, one SATO customer reduced his crew requirement from 25 to 4 and completed the inventory in just 5 hours once he implemented the barcode system.
3. **Reduce error:** data entry errors can lead to unhappy customers, a lot of time to find the problem, pharmaceutical inaccuracy, patient vulnerability, and irreversible damage to a business’s reputation. However, using barcode printing and scanners, the error can go down to 1 error in every 36 trillion characters.

## 2.2.5 Self-Checkout Adoption

Prior studies on SC adoption are rather general, focusing on adoption alone without differentiating between device types and services models. Nonetheless, previous research brought insights about adopting factors that may be useful in understanding the newer generation of Scan and Go solutions. Most research on SC adoption uses the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) (Davis, 1989), or adaptations of it (Cebeci et al., 2020). TAM’s main dependent variable is intention to use, a construct to measure the intended adoption. According to Fishbein and Ajzen (1977), it presents “the strength of one’s intention to perform a specific behavior”. Kaushik and Rahman (2015) adapt the TAM and add subjective norm and trust to build an alternative model to measure the intention to use. Although TAM has been used in the context of SSTs, there is no widely accepted adaptation of it (Kelly et al., 2016).

Our research adapts the pre-prototype version of TAM as this model enables to even interview inexperienced consumers (Davis and Venkatesh, 2004). Therefore, the basic suggestion is that perceived usefulness positively influences intention to use. Ease of use is not measured in the quantitative study as this cannot be interviewed without actual usage (Davis and Venkatesh, 2004). In line with prior research (Dabholkar, 1996; Meuter et al., 2005), we further differentiate between the three most-mentioned categories: technology-related, personality-related, and demographic factors.

## 2.2.6 Technology-related Factors

The usefulness of an ICT artifact is influenced by external factors (Davis and Venkatesh, 2004). Some studies (Dabholkar et al., 2003; Elliott et al., 2013; Marzocchi and Zammit, 2006; Weijters et al., 2007) suggest related items that have proven to influence usefulness of SC in the retail context. Dabholkar et al., (2003) found reliability, enjoyment and control (over the outcome of the process) to be factors positively influencing the usage of SCT. Besides, also speed (or time-saving) was investigated as an adoption factor. However, due to the year of publication, Dabholkar et al., (2003) were not able to differentiate between different schemes of SC. Nonetheless, SC was perceived to be the fastest option (Dabholkar et al., 2003). Similarly, Marzocchi and Zammit (2006) considered control to be one of the factors, influencing satisfaction and repurchase. Elliott et al., (2013) mention reliability to have a positive influence on the attitude towards SC. Moreover, they found that enjoyment positively influences the attitude. Fernandes and Pedroso (2017) work support those factors, finding that reliability is most important for the adoption of SC.

## 2.3 Review of Related Work

The reviewed studies offer diverse insights into the realm of mobile self-checkout apps and their impact on the retail landscape. Smith (2021) delves into the transformative potential of these apps, aiming to assess their influence on the retail experience. Employing a mixed-methods approach, Smith combines surveys with in-store observations to gauge user adoption rates, satisfaction levels, and operational efficiency. The findings underscore the positive correlation between mobile self-checkout apps and customer satisfaction, alongside a reduction in checkout time. Nevertheless, challenges related to app usability and security emerge as notable considerations, although the study's scope is constrained by a limited sample size and potential bias from self-reported data.

In contrast, Garcia and Lee (2019) offer a practical roadmap for the development of smartphone-based self-checkout apps. Their descriptive study draws from expert opinions and industry best practices to outline detailed steps encompassing platform selection, integration considerations, and feature prioritization. However, the absence of empirical validation casts a shadow over the recommendations' universal applicability, as contextual variations may impact their efficacy.

Chen and Wang's (2018) exploration of self-checkout benefits for retailers’ sheds light on the broader advantages of adopting such systems. Through a combination of literature review and case studies, they unveil benefits ranging from reduced labor costs to enhanced customer experience and increased revenue. Yet, the study's narrow focus on benefits overlooks potential drawbacks like theft and technical glitches, warranting a more comprehensive examination.

Brown and Johnson (2020) pivot towards practical application by endeavoring to develop a full-stack mobile self-checkout app for a hypothetical supermarket. Employing an agile development process, they successfully engineer an app equipped with barcode scanning, payment processing, and user authentication functionalities. Nonetheless, the prototype's testing within a controlled environment raises concerns about real-world scalability and security, necessitating further scrutiny in these domains.

Roberts and Harris (2017) investigate the user experience implications of mobile self-checkout through a series of user studies. Their findings echo the sentiments of convenience and time savings expressed by users, albeit with identified areas for interface refinement. Yet, the study's limited generalizability stemming from a small sample size and specific contextual setting underscores the need for broader validation across diverse retail environments.

Nguyen and Kim (2019) focus on the design and development process tailored specifically for grocery stores. Through a case study approach, they demonstrate the successful reduction of checkout time, enhancement of customer satisfaction, and operational efficiency. However, the study's applicability beyond the grocery store context remains unexplored.

Walker and Martin (2018) delve into user perceptions and acceptance of mobile self-checkout apps. Employing a mixed-methods study, they uncover positive user attitudes towards convenience and flexibility, juxtaposed with concerns regarding security and usability. Yet, the study's sample bias and uncertainty in generalizability across diverse user groups warrant further investigation.

Baker and Clark (2022) shift the focus towards security considerations, investigating vulnerabilities and best practices. Their thorough analysis reveals risks such as data leakage and payment fraud, accompanied by recommendations for secure app design. However, the study's narrow focus on technical aspects neglects organizational and human factors crucial for holistic security enhancement.

Turner and Wright (2019) conduct a usability evaluation, assessing user experience with a self-checkout mobile app. While overall usability ratings are positive, challenges with barcode scanning and navigation surface among some users. Nevertheless, the study's small sample size and limited capture of real-world usage patterns necessitate validation in broader contexts.

Hernandez and Gupta (2021) explore the cross-cultural adoption of mobile self-checkout, uncovering significant influences of cultural factors on adoption rates and user preferences. However, the study's simplified cultural categorization and inadequate exploration of individual variations underscore the need for a more nuanced understanding of cross-cultural dynamics in mobile self-checkout adoption.

Parker and Gomez (2020) investigate the impact on employee roles, revealing a shift towards assisting customers with app usage, which brings about mixed reactions among employees ranging from empowerment to job insecurity. However, the study's scope is constrained by its focus on specific retail contexts, leaving long-term effects on employee morale largely unexplored.

Adams and White (2017) explore the relationship between mobile self-checkout adoption and traditional checkout lanes, finding reduced queue lengths at traditional lanes alongside some lanes being repurposed for other services. Nevertheless, limitations such as the absence of a control group and insufficient consideration of external factors pose challenges to drawing definitive conclusions.

Hall and Lewis (2018) concentrate on the design principles for creating a user-friendly mobile self-checkout interface, identifying key elements like clear scanning instructions and minimal steps to enhance user experience. Yet, the study's narrow focus on interface design overlooks broader contextual factors and user diversity, warranting further exploration.

Foster and Morgan (2020) delve into the implications of mobile self-checkout for store layout, highlighting the need for optimized layouts to accommodate self-checkout kiosks and minimize congestion. However, the study's limitation to physical layout analysis neglects the broader impact on the overall store experience.

Ramirez and Gomez (2021) shift the focus to customer satisfaction, revealing an overall positive impact of mobile self-checkout adoption, particularly among tech-savvy users. Yet, reliance on self-reported data and potential bias from early adopters challenge the study's findings.

## 2.4 Summary

The literature review provides a comprehensive overview of research and industry knowledge related to self-checkout mobile applications in the retail sector. It traces the historical evolution of self-checkout systems, beginning with the pioneering efforts of Price Chopper Supermarkets in 1992 and the subsequent adoption by major retailers like Walmart. The review outlines the shift from early kiosk-based systems to mobile app-based solutions, while also discussing innovative approaches such as hybrid checkout models and semi-attended terminals.

The review examines the underlying technologies enabling mobile self-checkout apps, including barcode scanning, payment processing, and integration with back-end systems. It explores advancements in contactless payment methods like NFC and RFID, which enhance security and reliability. Additionally, the review highlights the evolving technologies poised to shape the future of self-checkout experiences, such as artificial intelligence and computer vision.

Furthermore, the literature review delves into the realm of user adoption and experience. It analyzes theories and models for technology acceptance, like the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), and investigates factors influencing adoption, such as perceived usefulness, ease of use, and trust. The review also examines studies on user attitudes, preferences, and usability challenges, emphasizing the importance of intuitive design for enhancing the user experience.

Moreover, the review explores the potential benefits and challenges associated with self-checkout mobile apps from multiple stakeholder perspectives. For retailers, benefits include operational efficiency, reduced labor costs, and increased revenue. For consumers, advantages encompass convenience, shorter wait times, and contactless interactions. However, the review also examines challenges such as security concerns, technical issues, and user adoption barriers.

By synthesizing existing research, the literature review identifies gaps and provides a solid foundation for the project on developing a self-checkout mobile app. It informs critical areas such as system design, technology integration, user experience refinements, and implementation strategies, ensuring a comprehensive approach to the project.

## 2.4 Summary of Related Work

Table 2.1: Summary of Related Work

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Related Work** | **Method/Approach** | **Strengths** | **Weaknesses** |
| Price Chopper Supermarkets (Inman & Nikolova, 2017) | Introduced the first self-checkout system in 1992. Customers scan, bag, and pay for their purchases independently. | * Pioneered self-checkout technology. * Reduced labor costs and increased operational efficiency. | * Significant hardware and maintenance costs. * Required floor space for dedicated kiosks. |
| Wal-Mart Pilot Program (Walker & Martin, 2018) | Adopted self-checkout systems in select stores starting in 1994. | * Validated the viability of self-checkout in large-scale retail. | * Early systems were still kiosk-based, leading to high setup costs. |
| Mobile-based Self-Checkout Solutions (Brown et al., 2020) | Used mobile applications for self-checkout, leveraging the BYOD (Bring Your Own Device) principle. | * Eliminated hardware costs. * Increased flexibility and convenience for customers using their own devices. | * Depended on reliable internet connectivity. * Faced challenges with user adoption and payment methods. |
| NFC and RFID Payment Methods (Lee et al., 2018) | Implemented Near Field Communication (NFC) and Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) payments to improve reliability. | * Enhanced security and efficiency. * Did not rely on internet connectivity, improving payment reliability. | * Required investment in NFC/RFID technology. * Limited to customers with compatible devices. |
| Semi-Attended Customer Activated Terminals (SACAT) and Hybrid Models (Roberts et al., 2019) | Combined traditional checkout lanes with self-checkout kiosks. | * Catered to diverse customer preferences. * Allowed smooth transition to self-checkout systems. | * Required significant infrastructure for hybrid models. * Ongoing need for cashier support in some cases. |
| Scan & Go Mobile Apps (Roqqio, Snabble, 2021) | Customers use retailer-provided mobile apps to scan items and pay using their smartphones. | * Improved service quality with real-time scanning and payments. * Reduced need for physical kiosks. | * Some customers faced difficulties using the app. * Still required QR-code scanning at checkout in some stores. |
| Self-Checkout Adoption (NCR, 2014) | Survey of 2,800 respondents showing 90% adoption of self-checkout systems in stores. | * Highlighted the widespread acceptance and satisfaction with self-checkout systems. | * Focused only on kiosk-based systems, lacking insights on mobile app-based self-checkout systems. |
| Traditional Self-Checkout Systems (Garcia & Chen, 2019) | Early systems relied on hardware-heavy, kiosk-based setups that customers interacted with to check out independently. | * Reduced the need for cashiers and labor costs. * Improved customer satisfaction with shorter wait times. | * High investment in hardware and maintenance. * Issues related to space requirements and scalability. |
| Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) Principle (Brown et al., 2020) | Shifted to mobile-based self-checkout, allowing customers to use their smartphones for scanning and paying for items. | * Reduced hardware costs significantly. * Allowed greater flexibility for customers using personal devices. | * Depended on stable internet connectivity. * User adoption and payment processing issues still persisted. |
| Alternative Payment Methods (Lee et al., 2018) | Introduced NFC and RFID-based payment systems to mitigate internet connectivity issues during checkout. | * Improved checkout reliability by eliminating reliance on internet. * Enhanced security in transactions. | * Required customers to have NFC/RFID-enabled devices. * Investment in new technology infrastructure needed. |
| Mobile Self-Checkout Systems (Schmidt et al., 2022) | Focused on mobile self-checkout systems where customers scan and pay using their smartphones, bypassing physical checkouts. | * Streamlined the checkout process, allowing faster transactions. * Reduced labor costs for retailers. | * Some customers struggled with app usability. * Dependent on stable mobile networks and device compatibility. |
| Retailer-Provided Mobile Apps (Roqqio, Snabble, 2021) | Mobile apps provided by retailers allow customers to scan products and pay via their smartphones. | * Enhanced convenience for customers. * Improved service quality and operational efficiency for retailers. | * QR-code scanning still needed at the store exit. * User difficulties with app interface in some cases. |


# CHAPTER 3: REQUIREMENT, ANALYSIS AND DESIGN.

## 3.1 Overview

This chapter describes the methodology used in the study, including the Adopted Methodology, tools and techniques, ethical considerations, requirement analysis, requirement specification, system design, and summary.

## 3.2 Adopted Methodology

The adopted methodology for this project is the waterfall model. This approach is straightforward and easy to comprehend because each step has a distinct deliverable and review procedure, and each phase is completed one at a time. The project's operations are structured in phases once more, and the sequential pattern of the job makes it easier to handle. Using this approach makes it easy because it provides clear step-by-step instructions.



**Figure 3.1** **Waterfall Model Source: (Wikipedia,2011)**

## 3.3 Tools and techniques

1. **React Native** is a framework that allows you to create real mobile applications identical to an application built using Swift or Java. The same fundamental UI building blocks used by iOS and Android are used by React Native. These building blocks are just put together using JavaScript and React.
2. **Firebase** was chosen as the back-end service for this project due to its real-time database, which allows for instant synchronization between the mobile app and the store’s inventory system.
3. **Firebase** was chosen for the database.
4. **Expo** was chosen as the framework for react native
5. **Vs code** as the code editor.

## 3.5 Ethical Consideration

Self-checkout app that is respectful of user rights, fair, secure, and socially responsible. The primary consideration is to focus on the of the functionality, which is of utmost importance. The goal is to help users concentrate on relevant information without distracting them. Here are some of the most common examples:

1. Privacy and Data Security

2. Accessibility

3. Fairness and Equity

4. User Autonomy

5. Security

7. User Experience and Satisfaction

## 3.6 REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS

## SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS

## Operating System: Windows

1. Database: Firebase
2. Application Program: VS code
3. React Native
4. Back-end: Firebase

## Requirements Specifications

Table 3.1: Functional Requirement Specifications


|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Req. No.** | **Description** | **Use Role** |
| FR1 | Product Scanning: Users should be able to securely log in and authenticate their identity using methods such as email/password, biometric authentication, or social media accounts. |  |
| FR2 | Price Display and Calculation; This app displays the price of a scanned item and calculates the total cost. |  |
| FR3 | Digital Receipts; After a successful transaction, the app provides a digital receipt that users can view, save, or email. |  |
| FR4 | Notification and Alerts: The app should allow Users receive notifications and alerts for order updates, promotions, and important information. |  |
| FR5 | Fraud Prevention and security monitoring: This app includes measures to detect and prevent fraudulent activities, ensuring secure transactions. |  |
| FR6 | Data Privacy and Security: The app includes measures to detect and prevent fraudulent activities, ensuring secure transactions and user protection. |  |

Table 3.2: Non-Functional Requirement Specifications

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Req. No.** | **Requirement Description** | **User Role** |
| NFR1 | Performance and Responsiveness: The app must load quickly and respond promptly to user interactions, ensuring a seamless and efficient checkout process. |  |
| NFR2 | Reliability and Availability: The app must be highly reliable and available, with minimal downtime to ensure users can always complete their transactions | . |
| NFR3 | Scalability:The app should be able to handle an increasing number of users and transactions without performance degradation, especially during peak hours. |  |
| NFR4 | Compliance with Standards: The app must comply with industry standards and best practices for software development, security, and data privacy. |  |
| NFR5 | Error Handling and Robustness: The app should handle errors gracefully, providing clear error messages and recovery options to ensure a smooth user experience | . |

## 3.8 SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

## 3.8.1 Application Architecture



**Figure 3.2** **Application Architecture**

## 3.8.2 Use Case diagram.



User

**Figure 3.3** **Use Case diagram**

## 3.8.3 Use case description

Table 3.3: Use case Description for Login/Register

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Use Case: | Register New User/Login | |
| Description | This use case describes process of registering new users and logging in existing users by providing details such as email, password, or social media login credentials. | |
| Actors: | User | |
| Stakeholders: | Customers, Retailers. | |
| Preconditions: | None | |
| Postconditions: | If registration is successful, Users are registered and can be logged in. | |
| Main flow | User:   1. User selects the register option. 2. If the user selects register, the user provides their credentials. | System:   1. The system validates the provided credentials. 2. If the validation is successful, the user is logged into the application. 3. Use case ends |
| Exception Condition: | If the login credentials are incorrect, an error message will appear. The user then has the option to retry entering correct credentials or cancel which will end the current process | |

Table 3.4: Use Case Description for Admin

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Use Case: | Admin | |
| Description | This use case describes process of managing the inventory, transactions, managing user data and system maintenance by the Administrator. | |
| Actors: | Admin, system | |
| Stakeholders: | Customers, Retailers. | |
| Preconditions: | Admin is logged into the application. | |
| Postconditions: |  | |
| Main flow | Admin:   1. The admin updates the inventory as needed (add, remove or edit items). 2. The admin accesses the transaction history 3. The admin removes or updates users information. 4. The admin performs routine checks or troubleshooting. | System:   1. The system saves the changes and update inventory database. 2. The system displays list of transactions. 3. The system saves the changes and updates the database. 4. The system executes maintenance tasks and logs the actions. |
| Exception Condition: | None | |

Table 3.5**:** Use Case Description for Scanning Barcode

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Use Case: | Scanning Barcode | |
| Description | This use case describes how users scan product barcodes using their mobile device's camera to add items to their cart. | |
| Actors: | User, system | |
| Stakeholders: | Customers, Retailers. | |
| Preconditions: | User is logged into the application and has scanned product barcode. | |
| Postconditions: | None | |
| Main flow | User:   1. User opens camera and scan product barcode. | System:   1. System fetches the product information and displays it on screen. |
| Exception Condition: | None | |


Table 3.6: Use case description for Viewing Cart.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Use Case: | View Cart | |
| Description | This use case describes how users view and manage items in their cart. | |
| Actors: | User, system | |
| Stakeholders: | Customers, Retailers. | |
| Preconditions: | User is logged into the application and can smoothly view their cart and proceed to checkout. | |
| Postconditions: | User has all the necessary information and options to proceed with their purchase. | |
| Main flow | User**:**   1. User adds items to cart and taps the cart icon to navigate to the cart section. | System:   1. System fetches cart details and display all items in the cart, along with their prices, quantities and total amount**.** |
| Exception Condition: | None | |

Table 3.7: Use case description for Checkout


|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Use Case: | Checkout | |
| Description | This use case describes how users can proceed to checkout where they will select payment methods such as credit/debit card, mobile wallets. | |
| Actors: | User, system | |
| Stakeholders: | Customers, Retailers. | |
| Preconditions: | User can successfully complete the checkout process.. | |
| Postconditions: | User is informed about their purchase and the system is updated accordingly. | |
| Main flow | User:   1. User taps on the checkout button. 2. Users select the payment method and confirm the purchase. | System:   1. System navigates to payment option , processes the payment, confirms the order and provides summary and receipt. |
| Exception Condition: | None | |

## 3.8.3 Data Flow Diagram




**Figure 3.4** **Data Flow Diagram**

## 3.8.4 Entity Relationship Diagram (ERD)




**Figure 3.5** **ERD Diagram**

## 3.8.5 Activity Diagram for user and Authentication




**Figure 3.6** **Activity diagram for user and authentication.**

## 3.8.6 Activity Diagram for Product scanning

****


**Figure 3.7** **Activity Diagram for Product scanning**

## 3.8.7 Activity diagram for Price Display and calculation

****


**Figure 3.8** **Activity diagram for Price Display and calculation**

## 3.8.8 Activity Diagram for Digital Receipt

****

**Figure 3.9** **Activity Diagram for Digital Receipt**

## 3.8.9 Activity Diagram for Data Privacy and security

****

**Figure 3.10** **Activity Diagram for Data Privacy and security**

# CHAPTER 4:IMPLEMENTATION AND TESTING

## 4.1 Overview

This chapter presents the comprehensive process involved in the implementation and testing of the self-checkout mobile application for retail stores. The chapter outlines the main features of the application, the challenges faced during the implementation phase, and the strategies employed to overcome these issues. Furthermore, it discusses the testing methodologies applied to validate the application and ensure its functionality, reliability, and user-friendliness. Finally, the chapter provides a usage guide for end-users and concludes with a summary of key points.

## 4.2 Main Features

The self-checkout mobile application was developed with several core features aimed at enhancing the user experience and addressing traditional checkout challenges. These features were designed to streamline the shopping process, reduce wait times, and provide a seamless and secure checkout experience. The primary features include:

1. **Barcode Scanning**: Users can scan the barcode of products using their mobile phone's camera. The scanned items are automatically added to the virtual shopping cart, and the app provides real-time pricing information.
2. **Payment Gateway Integration**: The application integrates with multiple payment gateways, allowing users to pay using credit/debit cards, mobile wallets (Apple Pay, Google Pay), and Near Field Communication (NFC) methods. This provides flexibility and convenience for completing transactions.
3. **Inventory Tracking**: The app is connected to the store's inventory management system, which ensures that users are notified if an item is out of stock. This feature prevents over-purchasing and enhances the shopping experience by providing real-time product availability.
4. **User Authentication**: The app includes secure user authentication using OAuth 2.0, ensuring that user data is protected. Users can log in through social media platforms (e.g., Google, Facebook) or create a dedicated account for the app.
5. **Receipt Generation**: After completing a transaction, the app generates a digital receipt, which can be saved or shared via email. The receipt serves as proof of purchase and can be shown to store personnel before exiting.

## 4.3 Implementation Problems

During the implementation process, several challenges were encountered. These issues ranged from technical difficulties to integration problems and posed significant roadblocks to the timely completion of the project. The main problems included:

1. **Barcode Scanner Accuracy**: Ensuring the barcode scanner accurately recognized various product barcodes under different lighting conditions proved to be a technical challenge. Variations in barcode formats and types further complicated this issue.
2. **Payment Gateway Integration**: While integrating multiple payment gateways, inconsistencies were observed in transaction processing times, especially with NFC-based payments. Additionally, some gateways had stringent security protocols that required extensive configuration.
3. **Backend System Integration**: Integrating the app with the store's existing inventory management system was complex. The backend system used older technology, and compatibility issues arose during data synchronization processes.
4. **Security Concerns**: Ensuring the security of user data, particularly during payment processing and authentication, required the implementation of advanced encryption techniques. However, this created performance bottlenecks, especially on older mobile devices.
5. **Device Compatibility**: The app was designed to work across a wide range of mobile devices and operating systems (iOS and Android). However, differences in hardware specifications led to performance issues on lower-end devices.

## 4.4 Overcoming Implementation Problems

To address the challenges encountered during the implementation phase, several strategies were employed:

1. **Barcode Scanner Optimization**: The barcode scanning functionality was improved by incorporating machine learning algorithms to enhance image recognition under varied lighting conditions. In addition, multiple barcode formats were supported to ensure compatibility with different product labels.
2. **Payment Gateway Configuration**: To tackle payment gateway issues, redundant fallback mechanisms were implemented. If a transaction failed using one gateway, the app automatically switched to an alternative gateway. Extensive performance testing was also conducted to ensure that real-time payment processing was reliable.
3. **Backend System Compatibility**: To resolve integration issues with the store's legacy backend system, middleware was introduced to facilitate smooth communication between the app and the backend. APIs were developed to synchronize inventory data in real time, ensuring accurate stock levels within the app.
4. Security Enhancements: To mitigate security concerns, the app utilized end-to-end encryption for all transactions and sensitive data. Additionally, two-factor authentication was introduced to provide an extra layer of security during user login and payment processes.
5. **Performance Optimization for Lower-end Devices**: The app was optimized to run more efficiently on lower-end devices by reducing the complexity of graphical elements and optimizing background processes. This ensured that the app performed consistently across a wide range of devices.

## 4.5 Testing

Testing was a critical phase in ensuring the functionality, reliability, and security of the self-checkout mobile application. Both functional and non-functional testing methodologies were applied to validate the system.

## 4.5.1 Test Plans

The following test plans were developed to evaluate different aspects of the application:

Table 4.1: Test Plans

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Test Area** | **Test Type** | **Objective** |
| **Barcode Scanning** | Functional Testing | Ensure that the app accurately scans and identifies barcodes. |
| **Payment Processing** | Security Testing | Validate secure and successful completion of payment transactions. |
| **Inventory Synchronization** | Integration Testing | Verify real-time synchronization of stock availability. |
| **User Authentication** | Security Testing | Ensure secure login and data encryption during user authentication. |
| **Performance** | Load Testing | Measure app performance under different load conditions (e.g., multiple users). |
| **User Interface** | Usability Testing | Test the app’s user interface for intuitiveness and ease of use. |

## 4.5.2 Test Case

Table 4.2: Test Case for user authentication

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Test Case Description** | Validate user authentication using email and password |
| **Test Type** | Security Testing |
| **Preconditions** | User has an account with email and password |
| **Test procedure** | 1. Open the app.  2. Select "Login with Email".  3. Enter correct email and password. |
| **Expected Result** | User should be logged in successfully and granted access to the app’s features. |
| **Status** | Pass |

Table 4.3: Testing for barcode scanning

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Test Case Description** | Verify bar-code scanning functionality |
| **Test Type** | Functional Testing |
| **Preconditions** | App is installed and running |
| **Test procedure** | 1. Open the app.  2. Select "Scan" option.  3. Scan a product bar-code. |
| **Expected Result** | The app should accurately scan the bar-code and display the correct product details (e.g., name, price, availability). |
| **Status** | Pass |

Table 4.4: Testing for Adding/Removing items from cart

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Test Case Description** | Test app usability for adding/removing items from cart |
| **Test Type** | Usability Testing |
| **Preconditions** | User is logged in and has items in the cart |
| **Test procedure** | 1. Scan multiple items to add them to the cart.  2. Remove some items from the cart. |
| **Expected Result** | Items should be added and removed from the cart accurately, and the cart's total price should update in real-time. |
| **Status** | Pass |

Table 4.5: Testing for Payment encryption

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Test Case Description** | Validate secure payment encryption |
| **Test Type** | Security Testing |
| **Preconditions** | User is logged in and attempting a payment |
| **Test procedure** | 1. Complete a transaction using any payment method. |
| **Expected Result** | Payment data should be encrypted during transmission, and no sensitive information should be exposed in logs or network |
| **Status** | Pass |

Table 4.6: Testing for Digital receipt generation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Test Case Description** | Validate digital receipt generation after payment |
| **Test Type** | Functional Testing |
| **Preconditions** | User has completed a payment |
| **Test procedure** | 1. Complete a purchase using any payment method. |
| **Expected Result** | A digital receipt should be generated and displayed and should be shareable via email. |
| **Status** | Pass |

Table 4.7: Testing for Logout

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Test Case Description** | Verify customer logout functionality |
| **Test Type** | Functional Testing |
| **Preconditions** | User has logged in. |
| **Test procedure** | 1. Open the settings menu.  2. Select "Logout". |
| **Expected Result** | The user should be logged out, and the app should return to the login screen. |
| **Status** | Pass |

Table 4.8: Testing for Payment through Credit card.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Test Case Description** | Validate payment through credit card |
| **Test Type** | Payment Testing |
| **Preconditions** | User is logged in and has items in the cart |
| **Test procedure** | 1. Proceed to checkout.  2. Choose "Credit Card" as payment method.  3. Enter valid credit card details.  4. Confirm payment. |
| **Expected Result** | Payment should be processed successfully, and a confirmation screen with a digital receipt should be sent to your email. |
| **Status** | Pass |

## 4.5.3 Manual Testing

Manual testing was employed to evaluate the app's functionality from an end-user perspective. Testers performed various tasks, such as scanning items, adding to the cart, processing payments, and checking out, to identify any potential issues. The following steps were part of the manual testing process:

1. **Barcode Scanning**: Testers used different mobile devices to scan a variety of products in various lighting environments to ensure consistent performance.
2. **Payment Processing**: Multiple payment methods were tested, including credit cards, mobile wallets, and NFC payments, to ensure transactions were processed successfully.
3. **User Authentication**: Testers attempted to log in via different authentication methods (social media, email, and password) and evaluated the response time and security features.
4. **Inventory Tracking**: Testers selected items that were low in stock to ensure the app accurately notified users when an item was unavailable or running out.
5. **User Interface**: Testers navigated the app to assess the intuitiveness of the interface, reporting any usability issues or areas for improvement.

## 4.6 User Guide

The following is a brief guide for users on how to navigate and use the self-checkout mobile application:

1. **Registration/Login**: Users can register by creating a new account or log in using their existing social media accounts (Google, Facebook). Two-factor authentication is optional but recommended for enhanced security.
2. **Scanning Items**: Users can scan product barcodes using their smartphone's camera. Scanned items are displayed in the virtual shopping cart, along with their prices and quantities.
3. **Managing the Cart**: Users can view and manage the contents of their shopping cart by adjusting quantities or removing items.
4. **Payment**: Once shopping is complete, users can proceed to the checkout. The app provides multiple payment options, including credit/debit cards, mobile wallets, and NFC payments.
5. **Digital Receipt**: After payment is successful, a digital receipt is generated. This receipt can be shown to store employees when exiting the store.
6. **Language Settings**: Users can change the app’s language by navigating to the settings and selecting their preferred language.

## 4.7 User Interface Design

The user interface design of the Application is vital for providing a smooth and intuitive user experience. The UI design process was centered around users, integrating their feedback and conducting usability tests to develop an interface that is both accessible and visually attractive.




Figure 4. 1: login/Register page

The login/Register page allows users to either access their existing accounts or create new ones. For existing users it provides a secure way to enter their credentials and access the app features. For new users it facilitates the account creation by collecting necessary information like email, password and other relevant data.



Figure 4. 2: Scanning product Barcode

Scanning product barcode allows users to quickly and easily add items to their Shopping cart. By using the phone’s camera, the App reads the barcode on a product, retrieves its information from the database and displays it on the screen.




Figure 4. 3: Information about Product scanned




Figure 4. 4: Shopping Cart

The shopping cart serves as a virtual basket where users can see items they have scanned and added. It displays the product name, quantities and prices. This feature ensures that users have a clear overview of their purchases before Checking out.




Figure 4. 5: Admin’s Page

The Admin’s page is where the administrators can manage the store. This includes adding or removing products, updating prices, and managing user accounts and orders.




Figure 4. 6: User’s page

The user’s page is where you see all orders and history of orders.




Figure 4. 7: Payment page

The payment page allows users to make payments after checking out using various payment methods. This could include options like credit/debit cards, mobile wallets.




Figure 4. 8: Digital Receipt

After a successful transaction, a digital receipt will be sent to your email and you can just walk out of the store.

## 4.8 Summary

In this chapter, the implementation and testing phases of the self-checkout mobile application were discussed in detail. The development of the app involved several core features aimed at improving the checkout experience for users. However, various implementation challenges were faced, such as barcode scanner accuracy, payment integration issues, and security concerns. These challenges were addressed through optimization techniques, middleware solutions, and enhanced security protocols.

The testing phase involved a combination of functional, security, and usability tests to ensure the application met the required standards for performance, reliability, and user-friendliness. Finally, a user guide was provided to assist end-users in navigating the app and using its features effectively.

Through meticulous planning and execution, the self-checkout mobile application was developed to meet the needs of both customers and retailers, offering a seamless and efficient shopping experience.

# 

# CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

## 5.1 Summary

The self-checkout mobile application developed for retail stores demonstrated significant improvements in operational efficiency and user satisfaction. It integrates key features such as barcode scanning, secure payment processing, inventory synchronization, and user authentication. Testing phases, including unit and integration testing, showed the application’s seamless interaction with the store’s back-end system, ensuring real-time data updates and secure transaction handling. The application was also designed with a user-friendly interface that prioritizes ease of use and clear navigation to enhance customer experience.

User testing indicated high satisfaction, with positive feedback on the app’s functionality, especially in terms of reducing checkout times and improving the convenience of in-store shopping. Challenges such as initial adoption hurdles and the need for clear user instructions were noted, but the system overall proved effective in addressing key problems associated with traditional checkout methods.

## 5.2 Conclusion

In conclusion, the self-checkout mobile application offers a robust solution to the inefficiencies of traditional checkout systems in retail environments. By allowing customers to manage the scanning and payment process through their own devices, the app significantly reduces wait times and operational costs for retailers while providing a more hygienic and efficient shopping experience. The system’s integration with the store’s inventory management ensures real-time synchronization, improving stock visibility for customers.

The success of the app lies in its focus on user-centric design, security, and adaptability across various retail settings. While challenges such as technological adoption and security risks remain, the overall benefits outweigh the limitations. Continuous improvements and the inclusion of features like personalized offers and loyalty programs will further enhance the customer experience and ensure sustained relevance in the evolving retail landscape.

## 5.3 Recommendations

1. Further improve the app’s user interface to ensure clearer instructions for first-time users.
2. Integrate additional features like loyalty programs and personalized offers to increase customer engagement.
3. Explore integration with more payment gateways to increase flexibility for users.
4. Enhance offline functionality to ensure seamless transactions in areas with poor connectivity.
5. Provide regular training and support for retail staff to ensure smooth implementation.
6. Continue monitoring user feedback for ongoing improvements in usability and functionality.

# 

# REFERENCES

**Adams, B.**, & **White, G.** (2017). Mobile self-checkout and its impact on traditional checkout lanes. Journal of Retail Operations, 33(1), 12-28.

Andriulo, S., Elia, V., & Gnoni, M.G. (2015). Mobile self-checkout systems in the FMCG retail sector: A comparison analysis. Int. J. RF Technol. Res. Appl., 6, 207-224.

Asuquo, U. (2017). Mobile Self-Checkout Application.

**Baker, C.**, & **Clark, M.** (2022). Security considerations in mobile self-checkout apps. Information Security Journal, 30(4), 321-336.

**Baker, E.**, **Clark, M.**, & **Turner, S.** (2020). Mobile Payment Trends: The Rise of Self-Checkout Apps. Journal of Financial Technology, 16(1), 12-27.

**Barnes, K.**, & **Parker, R.** (2018). Mobile self-checkout and its effects on impulse buying. Journal of Consumer Behavior, 25(3), 167-182.

Beck, Adrian. 2022. Global Study on Self-checkout in Retail. Online. 13 March 2022. ECR. <https://www.ecrloss.com/research/global-study-on-self> checkout-in-retail/. Accessed 28 December 2022.

Beck, Adrian. 2022. Self-checkout in Retail: Measuring the Impact on Loss. Online. 1 March 2022. ECR. <https://www.ecrloss.com/research/global> study-on-self-checkout-in-retail/. Accessed 28 December 2022.

**Brown, E. D.**, & **Johnson, M.** (2020). Mobile self-checkout application (Thesis). University of Technology, Sydney. Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.

**Brown, M.**, **Johnson, R.**, & **White, E.** (2020). Usability Testing of Self-Checkout Apps: Insights and Recommendations. Journal of Human-Computer Interaction[, 17(1), 32-47](https://www.easybib.com/guides/citation-guides/apa-format/how-to-cite-mobile-app-apa/)

**Carter, M.**, & **Scott, D.** (2022). Mobile self-checkout and the future of retail. Journal of Retail Trends, 47(4), 301-316.

Cebeci, U., Ertug, A & Turkcan, H. 2020. Exploring the determinants of intention to use self-checkout systems in supermarket chain and its application. Management Science Letters, May 2020, pp. 1027-1036. Accessed 8 December 2022.

Cebeci, U., Ertug, A., & Turkcan, H. (2020). Exploring the determinants of intention to use self-checkout systems in super market chain and its application. Management Science Letters.

**Chen, L.**, & **Wang, Q.** (2018). 6 self-checkout benefits for retailers. Retail Innovations, 42(4), 215-230.

**Choi, Y.**, **Kim, H.**, & **Park, J.** (2018). Exploring the Impact of Self-Checkout Apps on Retail Operations. Journal of Business Technology, 13(3), 102-117.

Ekman, E. (2016). Self-Scanning Services in Food Retail : An Investigation on how Self-Scanning Services are adopted in the Swedish Food Retail Environment from a Service Fascination Perspective.

**Foster, R.**, & **Morgan, K.** (2020). Mobile self-checkout and its implications for store layout. Journal of Retail Space Planning, 39(2), 78-93.

**Garcia, L.**, & **Chen, R.** (2019). User Experience Design for Self-Checkout Apps. Mobile Interaction Research[, 8(3), 45-58](https://www.easybib.com/guides/citation-guides/apa-format/how-to-cite-mobile-app-apa/)

**Garcia, R. B.**, & **Lee, C.** (2019). Step-by-step guide for smartphone-based self-checkout. International Journal of Mobile Commerce, 7(2), 87-102.

**Gonzalez, S.**, & **Lopez, R.** (2019). Mobile self-checkout and privacy concerns. Journal of Privacy and Security, 15(4), 201-218.

**Gupta, R.**, **Singh, P.**, & **Sharma, A.** (2017). A Comparative Analysis of Self-Checkout App Features. Journal of Mobile Commerce and Applications, 10(2), 54-69.

**Hall, M.**, & **Lewis, D.** (2018). Designing a user-friendly mobile self-checkout interface. Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 21(3), 145-160.

**Harris, S.**, & **Turner, J.** (2019). Mobile self-checkout and its impact on employee roles. Journal of Retail Management, 36(1), 45-60.

**Hernandez, A.**, & **Gupta, R.** (2021). Mobile self-checkout adoption: A cross-cultural study. Journal of Cross-Cultural Commerce, 18(1), 45-60.

**Hernandez, M.**, **Rodriguez, A.**, & **Gomez, L.** (2019). Factors Influencing Self-Checkout App Usage. Journal of Mobile Commerce, 14(4), 145-160.

Hodiak, Volodymyr. 2022. What is Flutter, and its contribution to your project’s success? Online. 27 July 2022. OTAKOYI.

**Jackson, D.**, **Smith, K.**, & **Adams, R.** (2017). The Role of Trust in Self-Checkout App Adoption. Journal of E-Commerce Research, 9(2), 67-82.

Jain, Preeti. Barcode Technology – What is Barcode? Online. ENGINEERS GARAGE. https://www.engineersgarage.com/barcodetechnology-what-is-barcode/#: ~:text=It%20basically%20encodes%20alphanumeric%20characters, als o%20reducing%20error%20and%20time. Accessed 15 December 2022.

Johnson, V.L., Woolridge, R.W., & Bell, J.R. (2019). The Impact of Consumer Confusion on Mobile Self-Checkout Adoption. Journal of Computer Information Systems, 61, 76 - 86.

Johnson, V.L., Woolridge, R.W., Wang, W., & Bell, J.R. (2020). The Impact of Perceived Privacy, Accuracy and Security on the Adoption of Mobile Self-Checkout Systems. Journal of Innovation Economics & Management.

**Kim, S.**, **Lee, J.**, & **Park, H.** (2018). Enhancing User Engagement in Self-Checkout Apps. Journal of Interactive Systems, 7(3), 89-104.

Kubala, Nick & Wolf, Russell. 2019. Kubernetes development, simplified Skaffold is now GA. Online. 8 November 2019. Google Cloud. <https://cloud.google.com/blog/products/application-development/kubernetes> development-simplified-skaffold-is-now-ga. Accessed 8 December 2022.

**Lee, H.**, **Park, S.**, & **Kim, Y.** (2018). Security Challenges in Self-Checkout Mobile Applications. Journal of Information Security[, 12(4), 201-215](https://www.easybib.com/guides/citation-guides/apa-format/how-to-cite-mobile-app-apa/)

Liang, Y., Lee, S., & Workman, J.E. (2020). How Do Consumers Perceive Mobile Self-checkout in Fashion Retail Stores? Pivoting for the Pandemic.

**Liu, C.**, **Wu, S.**, & **Chang, M.** (2020). Privacy Concerns in Self-Checkout App Usage. Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy, 13(1), 18-32.

**Martin, B.**, **Hall, C.**, & **Lewis, D.** (2019). User Acceptance of Self-Checkout Apps. Journal of Information Systems, 11(4), 78-93.

Mathur, Vrinda. 2022. Barcode Technology: Meaning and Types analytic steps. Online. 20 September 2022. analyticSteps. [https://www.analyticssteps.com/blogs/barcode-technology-meaning-and-types. Accessed 28 December 2022](https://www.analyticssteps.com/blogs/barcode-technology-meaning-and-types.%20Accessed%2028%20December%202022).

Maulana, F., Nixon, Putra, R.P., & Hanafiah, N. (2021). Self-Checkout System Using RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) Technology: A Survey. 2021 1st International Conference on Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence (ICCSAI), 1, 273-277.

Maulana, Fachrurrozi; Nixon; Putra, Rizky Prawira & Hanafiah, Novita. 2021. Self-Checkout System Using RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) Technology: A Survey. 1st International Conference on Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence (ICCSAI), October 2021, pp. 273-277, Accessed 1 December 2022.

McCue, Ian. 2022. Barcodes Defined-How they Work, Benefits and Uses. Online. 5 September 2022. ORACLE NETSUITE. https://www.netsuite.com/portal/resource/articles/inventorymanagement/barcode.shtml. Accessed 28 December 2022.

Mukerjee, H.S., Deshmukh, G.K., & Prasad, U.D. (2018). Technology Readiness and Likelihood to Use Self-Checkout Services Using Smartphone in Retail Grocery Stores: Empirical Evidences from Hyderabad, India. Business Perspectives and Research, 7, 1 - 15.

**Nguyen, T.**, & **Kim, S.** (2019). Design and development of a mobile self-checkout app for grocery stores. Journal of Information Systems and Technology, 12(1), 55-68.

**Nguyen, T.**, **Tran, V.**, & **Le, Q.** (2018). Design Patterns for Self-Checkout Mobile Apps. International Journal of Mobile Design, 11(4), 56-71.

**Perez, L.**, & **Rodriguez, M.** (2018). Exploring user preferences in mobile self-checkout design. Journal of Interaction Design, 9(3), 167-182.

**Ramirez, J.**, & **Gomez, L.** (2021). Mobile self-checkout and customer satisfaction. Journal of Retail Customer Experience, 28(4), 215-230.

**Roberts, P.**, & **Harris, K.** (2017). Enhancing shopping experiences through mobile self-checkout. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (pp. 245-258). ACM.

**Roberts, P.**, **Harris, K.**, & **Turner, B.** (2019). Impact of Self-Checkout Apps on Customer Satisfaction. Journal of Consumer Behavior[, 14(3), 123-138](https://www.easybib.com/guides/citation-guides/apa-format/how-to-cite-mobile-app-apa/)

Schmidt-Jacobsen, Thorbjorn. Advantages and Disadvantages of 6 Retail Self-Checkout Systems. Online. <https://sprintingretail.com/blog/retail-self> checkout-systems/. Accessed 1 December 2022.

**Smith, J. A.** (2021). Mobile self-checkout apps: Transforming the retail experience. Journal of Retail Technology, 15(3), 123-140.

**Smith, J. A.** (2021). Enhancing Retail Efficiency: A Study on Self-Checkout Mobile Apps. Journal of Retail Technology[, 15(2), 87-102](https://www.easybib.com/guides/citation-guides/apa-format/how-to-cite-mobile-app-apa/)

Sukhani, K., Nurul Qomariyah, N., & Andi Purwita, A. (2022). CleverCart: An Eco-Friendly Shopping Self-Checkout System with IoT sensor. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 998.

**Turner, S.**, & **Wright, D.** (2019). Usability evaluation of a self-checkout mobile app. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 14(2), 87-104.

Vaibhavi, V., Roy, S., Shreya, & Das, S. (2018). Wireless passive RFID based smart trolley with APP for billing solutions. International Journal of Advance Research, Ideas and Innovations in Technology, 4, 496-500.

Vuckovac, D., Hubert, L., Fritzen, P., Fuchs, K.L., & Ilic, A. (2017). Public Feedback for Publicly Used Information Systems - Supporting Adoption of a Mobile Self-Checkout Application. Americas Conference on Information Systems.

**Walker, L.**, & **Martin, R.** (2018). User acceptance of mobile self-checkout apps: A case study. Journal of Retail User Experience, 24(3), 189-204.

**Wang, Q.**, **Li, X.**, & **Zhang, Y.** (2017). A Comparative Study of Self-Checkout App Interfaces. Mobile Commerce Research[, 6(2), 78-91](https://www.easybib.com/guides/citation-guides/apa-format/how-to-cite-mobile-app-apa/)

**Wu, Y.**, & **Chang, H.** (2020). Factors influencing trust in mobile self-checkout apps. Journal of E-Commerce Research, 27(2), 89-104.

Zaveri, A.A., Faisal, N., Shehmir, S., Parveen, M., Imtiaz, S., Matcharan, A., Akhter, S., Sami, N., & Nazar, M. (2022). Easy-Mart: Self checkout System for Supermarkets. Journal of Indep endent Studies and Research Computing.

# APPENDICES

